

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

M. DIANE KOKEN

CASE NO. 05-03007 JF

Plaintiff(s),

v.

STATECO INC. d/b/a Stateco Insurance
Services and THOMAS NATOLI

Defendant(s).

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

- Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
- Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:

✓ Private ADR (please identify process and provider) Mediation

Private provider to be determined.

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

the presumptive deadline *(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)*

✓ other requested deadline March 31, 2006

Dated: Nov. 17, 2005

Dated: Nov. 17, 2005



Attorney for Plaintiff



Attorney for Defendants

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

✓ Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

✓ other March 31, 2006

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/21/05

Jeremy Fogel /s/electronic signature authorized

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE